

(i) Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claims 6, 12-25, 51, 52, 55, 56, 59, 60, 63, 64, 67, 68, 71 and 72 have been rejected for alleged indefiniteness. Claims 6, 12 and 13 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. The rejection of these claims is moot. Without conceding the validity of the rejections, claims 14-25, 51, 52, 55, 56, 59, 60, 63, 64, 67, 68, 71 and 72 have been amended. The rejection is addressed to the extent it pertains to the claims pending after entry of this Amendment.

The Examiner asserts that claims 19 and 25 are indefinite for recitation of the term “bispecific antibody.” The Examiner’s assertion is respectfully traversed. The ordinary and plain meaning of “bispecific antibody” to one of ordinary skill in the art is an antibody that has two separate antigen-binding specificities. See Cruse et al., 1994, *Illustrated Dictionary of Immunology*, CRC Press at 38 (enclosed). The rejections should therefore be withdrawn.

The Examiner asserts that claims 14, 20, 51, 52, 55, 56, 59, 60, 63, 67, 68, 71 and 72 are indefinite for recitation of “inhibiting or suppressing the accumulation [or neurotoxicity].” In response, without conceding the validity of the rejection, independent claims 14 and 20 have been amended to be call for inhibiting accumulation of amyloid β peptide or a fragment thereof in the brain and inhibiting the neurotoxicity of such a peptide or a fragment thereof. Claims 51, 52, 55, 56, 59, 60, 63, 67, 68, 71, and 72 depend respectively from either claim 14 or 20. The basis of the rejections has been addressed. The rejections should be withdrawn, accordingly.

The Examiner asserts that claims 20, 52, 56, 60, 64, 68 and 72 are further indefinite for recitation of “delaying...the neurotoxicity.” In response, without conceding the validity of the rejection, these claims have been amended to call for methods of “inhibiting the neurotoxicity” of amyloid β peptide or a fragment thereof. The rejection should therefore be withdrawn.

The Examiner asserts that claims 14, 16-20, 22-25, 51, 52, 55, 56, 59, 60, 63, 64, 67, 68, 71 and 72 are indefinite as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, amounting to a gap between the stated steps. The Examiner asserts that the claims lack reference to a subject. In response, the claims have been amended so that all pending claims are now directed to administering antibody to a “subject in need.” With respect to the Examiner’s assertion that the claims fail to make any reference or relation to a place or accumulation or neurotoxicity of amyloid beta: (1) The assertion is incorrect as it applies to claim 14 its dependent claims. Original claim 14 was directed to delaying, inhibiting, or suppressing accumulation of amyloid β or a fragment thereof in the brain. Claim 14 has been amended to further clarify this point. (2) The Examiner’s

DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. Box 5257
New York, New York 10150-5257
(212) 527-7700
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant